CRIGINAL

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

FILED

April 29 2010

1

No. DA 09-0616

Ed Smith

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

STATE OF MONTANA

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

STATE OF MONTANA,

Plaintiff and Appellee,

VS.

LINDA KAPSA,

Defendant and Appellant.

APPELLANT'S RESPONSE TO
MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS
COUNSEL OF RECORD

APR 2 9 2010

Ed Smith

COMES NOW, Linda K. Kapsa, Appellant herein, and respectfully responds to her appointed counsel's motion to withdraw as counsel of record in this appeal. Appellant agrees with the assertion that the majority of issues regarding her criminal case, the plea agreement, and the sentencing herein, including the legality of the sentence and claims of ineffective assistance of appointed counsel, are post-conviction issues, as the pertinent evidence is not on record.

However, the issue is of record involving the conditions that Probation Officer Lisa Hjelmstad set forth in her Pre-Sentence Investigation concerning the number of animals that Appellant should be allowed to possess, which directly contradicts the conditions in the plea agreement that the State agreed to concerning the number of animals that Appellant could possess.

Prosecutor Ingrid Rosenquist, during the Sentencing hearing, states that the "probation officer disagrees with the plea agreement, and in her conditions she put what she is recommending the number be, not what the plea agreement number was." (Transcript of Sentencing Hearing, pp. 114, lines 1-7.) It is apparent that the prosecution, in speaking for the probation officer, was justifying Ms. Hjelmstad's contrary recommendations.

This Court recognizes that a plea agreement presupposes fundamental fairness in the process of securing such an agreement between the defendant and the prosecutor and that prosecutors are also bound by a plea agreement. *State v. Allen*, 197 Mont. 64, 645 P.2d 380 (1981). Appellant's plea of no contest was induced by promises from an agent of the State to recommend that Appellant may possess certain numbers of animals, and then another agent of the State rendered that promise meaningless by making a contrary recommendation. The State failed to meet the "strict and meticulous standards of promise and performance." *Id.* The Court sentenced Appellant to the conditions set forth by the probation officer. (*See* Transcript of Sentencing Hearing.)

Appellant's appellate counsel failed to address this issue in her Anders Brief.

For the foregoing reasons, Appellant requests that her appointed counsel not be allowed to withdraw as counsel and address this issue in the appeal, or, in the alternative, appoint counsel that will assist Appellant in her appeal.

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of April, 2010.

Linda K. Kapsa

Link K, Kepen

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I mailed a rue and accurate copy of the foregoing document on April 25, 2010, to the following:

Steve Bullock Montana Attorney General 215 North Sanders P.O. Box 201401 Helena, MT 59620

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

Dennis Paxinos Yellowstone County Attorney P.O. Box 35025 Billings, MT 59107

Sto K. Kapon

Johnna K. Baffa P.O. Box 7575 Missoula, MT 59807